

Unprecedented Chemical Reactivity of a Paramagnetic Endohedral Metallofullerene La@C_s-C₈₂ that Leads Hydrogen Addition in the 1,3-**Dipolar Cycloaddition Reaction**

Yuta Takano,[†] Zdenek Slanina,[‡] Jaime Mateos,[§] Takayoshi Tsuchiya,^{||} Hiroki Kurihara,^{||} Filip Uhlik,^{\perp} María Ángeles Herranz,[§] Nazario Martín,^{*,§,#} Shigeru Nagase,^{*, ∇} and Takeshi Akasaka^{*,||,O,•,¶}

[†]Institute for Integrated Cell-Material Sciences (WPI-iCeMS), Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

[‡]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, National Chung-Cheng University, Min-Hsiung, Chia-Yi 62199, Taiwan-ROC

[§]Departamento de Química Orgánica I, Facultad de Química, Universidad Complutense, E-28040 Madrid, Spain

Life Science Center of Tsukuba Advanced Research Alliance, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan

¹Department of Physical and Macromolecular Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Charles University in Prague, Albertov 6, 12843 Praha 2, Czech Republic

[#]IMDEA–Nanoscience, Campus de Cantoblanco, Madrid E-28049, Spain

 $^{
abla}$ Fukui Institute for Fundamental Chemistry, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8103, Japan

^OFoundation for Advancement of International Science, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0821, Japan

Department of Chemistry, Tokyo Gakugei University, Tokyo 184-8501, Japan

[¶]State Key Laboratory of Materials Processing and Die & Mold Technology, School of Materials Science and Technology, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Synthesizing unprecedented diamagnetic adducts of an endohedral metallofullerene was achieved by using 1,3dipolar cycloaddition reaction of paramagnetic La $@C_{s-}C_{82}$ with a simultaneous hydrogen addition. The selective formation of two main products, La@C_s-C₈₂HCMe₂NMeCHPh (2a and 2b), was first detected by HPLC analysis and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. 2a and 2b-O, which was readily formed by the oxidation of 2b, were isolated by multistep HPLC separation and were fully characterized by spectroscopic methods,



including 1D and 2D-NMR, UV-vis-NIR measurements and electrochemistry. The hydrogen atom was found to be connected to the fullerene cage directly in the case of 2a, and the redox behavior indicated that the C-H bond can still be readily oxidized. The reaction mechanism and the molecular structures of 2a and 2b were reasonably proposed by the interplay between experimental observations and DFT calculations. The feasible order of the reaction process would involve a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition followed by the hydrogen addition through a radical pathway. It is concluded that the characteristic electronic properties and molecular structure of $La@C_5-C_{82}$ resulted in a site-selective reaction, which afforded a unique chemical derivative of an endohedral metallofullerene in high yields. Derivative 2a constitutes the first endohedral metallofullerene where the direct linking of a hydrogen atom has been structurally proven.

INTRODUCTION

Endohedral metallofullerenes (EMFs),¹ fullerenes which encapsulate metal atoms or clusters into their inner cavity, are novel materials which have attracted broad interests in a variety of research fields, such as chemistry, physics and biomaterial science.¹⁻⁴ Remarkable features of EMFs are unique molecular structures and magnetic and electronic properties induced by the inside metals.⁵ A number of reports are recently available for demonstrating the potential uses of EMFs as promising novel materials. Some relevant examples are the preparation of organic solar cells based on Lu₃N@C₈₀ derivatives which demonstrated higher open circuit voltage and power conversion efficiency than $\text{PC}_{61}\text{BM}^{3a}$ and the highly efficient MRI contrast agent based on Gd3N@C80/4a just to name a few.^{3,6,7}

For an easier accessibility to EMF-based materials, a wider availability of chemical functionalization methods on EMFs is required.^{1d,8} Unique properties of EMFs may lead to unique chemical reactivities, such as selective radical addition^{2c-e} and enantioselective cycloaddition.^{2f} In this regard, despite the

Received: September 12, 2014 Published: November 19, 2014

former efforts, much work is still needed for a better control on the chemical reactivity of the increasing variety of EMFs.

Among EMFs, paramagnetic EMFs are of particular interest because interplay between π -electron spins on the fullerene cage and inside metal atoms is expected to produce unconventional magnetic features. Its potential for spintronics devices has been demonstrated by several reports, which involve electrochemical switching of the magnetic properties,^{9b} the high electron mobility (μ) exceeding 10 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ of single-crystals of a derivative of $La@C_{2\nu}-C_{82}^{3b}$ and so on.¹⁰ However, radical reactivity that is originated from the paramagnetic property of the EMFs often leads unprecedented chemical reactivities, such as the formation of singly bonded derivative of $La@C_{2\nu}-C_{82}$ from the Bingel–Hirsch reaction^{2a} or the selective radical coupling reactions^{2d,e} of the fullerenes. For creating practical electronic and magnetic materials based on EMFs, a sophisticated management of chemical functionalization is essential on the basis of deep understanding of the unique properties of paramagnetic EMFs.

La@ $C_{2\nu}$ - C_{82} is one of the most investigated EMFs and is considered a prototype of paramagnetic EMFs, since it was demonstrated that a family of lanthanum-containing fullerenes could be produced and that extraction with toluene yielded mostly La@ C_{82} .¹¹ La@ C_s - C_{82} .¹² used for the present study, is an isomer of La@ $C_{2\nu}$ - C_{82} whose chemical derivatization has been hardly investigated.¹³ One reason for it is that La@ C_s - C_{82} has demonstrated relatively poor selectivity in addition reactions, resulting low yields in previous reports because of the lower symmetry relative to La@ $C_{2\nu}$ - C_{82} and the presence of 44 nonequivalent carbon atoms.

We herein report an unprecedented chemical reactivity of a paramagnetic endohedral metallofullerene La@ C_s -C₈₂. Surprisingly, we found that the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction of the fullerene, which is referred to as the Prato reaction,¹⁴ affords two new adducts of La@ C_s -C₈₂ in a highly selective way with an unprecedented and intriguing hydrogen addition reaction.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Isolation of the Two Adducts. First, the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction of $La@C_s-C_{82}$ (denoted as $La@C_{82}$ hereafter for simplicity) using an azomethine ylide as 1,3-dipole was conducted based on a standard procedure by using *o*-dichlorobenzene (*o*-DCB) as solvent (Scheme 1). After



refluxing the reaction solution for 15 min, the HPLC profiles of the resulting solution showed different peaks with consumption of the starting $La@C_{82}$ (Figure 1, left). The appearance of several shoulder peaks indicated that the selectivity of the addition reaction to the fullerene was quite low under these conditions.

Second, a droplet of toluene was added into the reaction solution of *o*-DCB before refluxing, and the selectivity of the addition reaction was drastically improved as confirmed by the HPLC profiles (Figure 1, right). After refluxing for 1 h, the HPLC profile of the reaction mixture indicated that approximately 50% of the starting fullerene was consumed and two products were dominantly formed. This high selectivity in the reaction is notable since several adducts could be expected to form because of the 44 unequivalent carbon atoms of the La@C₈₂ cage.^{12,13a}

The two products were successfully isolated from the unreacted starting materials and byproducts by using multistep high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (See the Supporting Information and Figure 2). The conversion yields of the main product (**2a**) and the minor product (**2b**) are 45% and 20%, respectively, based on the consumed La@C₈₂. These values are close to 2-fold in comparison with the best yield (24%) ever reported for the chemical functionalization of La@ C_{82} .

Characterization of the Main Product (2a). Matrixassisted laser desorption ionization which is coupled to a time of flight analyzer (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrum of **2a** shows a molecular ion peak at 1285 m/z and a fragment peak at 1123 m/z which came from pristine La@C₈₂ formed by the loss of the addend during laser desorption (Figure 3). It is notable that the molecular ion peak is not the expected 1284 m/z for the Prato adduct of La@C₈₂ and peaks between 1284 to 1289 m/zare not consistent with the isotopic distribution pattern of the usual Prato adduct. This result suggests that **2a** is a 1,3-dipolar cycloadduct which is accompanied by an additional hydrogen atom, and the small peak at 1284 m/z would be originated from the loss of the hydrogen atom of **2a**.

Generally, it is well-known that the Prato adducts of $La@C_{2\nu}$ - C_{82} have open-shell electronic structures as well as pristine La@ $C_{2\nu}$ - C_{82} .¹¹ In sharp contrast with the Prato adducts of La@ $C_{2\nu}$ - C_{82} which have ever been reported, as indicated by the mass spectra, 2a has a closed-shell structure because of the addition of the hydrogen atom, and accordingly, the electron spin resonance (ESR) spectra of 2a showed no signal (data not shown). For further characterization, therefore, NMR measurements were conducted for 2a as obtained. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, respectively, demonstrated characteristic signals of the pyrrolidine ring, phenyl group, and the hydrogen atom (Figures 4 and 5). Their assignments were confirmed by DEPT 135 and 2D-NMR measurements involving HSQC and HMBC (Figures S2-S4). The 2D-NMR spectra also provided reliable information on the addition position of the unexpected hydrogen atom. The ¹H NMR signal of the hydrogen atom at 3.19 ppm shows the clear correlation with carbon atoms of the fullerene cage both in HSQC and HMBC. These results indicate the direct connection between the hydrogen atom and the fullerene cage. It is also worth mentioning that the hydrogen atom is notably upfield shifted compared to dihydro, hydroalkylated and hydroarylated derivatives of C_{60} (Table 1)¹⁵ or the hydrogen atoms directly connected to C₆₀ cages after intramolecular nucleophilic additions of alcohols to C_{60}^{16} which suggests that the electron-withdrawing effect from La@ C_{82} is much weaker than that of C_{60} and the hydrogen atom on La@ C_{82} is electron-rich. Furthermore, considering the chemical shift in the ¹H NMR, it could be stated that the hydrogen atom of 2a shows a much lower acidity than those C_{60} derivatives listed in Table 1. Indeed, the C–H bond on $La@C_{82}$ can be



Figure 1. HPLC profiles of the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition (left) without and (right) with the addition of droplet of toluene. The latter reaction afforded **2a** and **2b** selectively. Conditions: Buckyprep column (ϕ 4.6 × 250 mm); eluent, toluene; flow rate, 1.0 mL/min; wavelength, 330 nm; temperature, 40 °C.



Figure 2. HPLC profiles of isolated (left) 2a and (right) 2b-O. Conditions: Buckyprep column (ϕ 4.6 × 250 mm); eluent, toluene; flow rate, 1.0 mL/min; wavelength, 330 nm; temperature, 40 °C.



Figure 3. MALDI-TOF mass spectra of 2a accompanied by its magnified view and simulated spectra for 2a, in negative liner mode using 1,1,4,4-tetraphenyl-1,3-butadiene as a matrix.

readily oxidized (vide infra) as well as in the case of La@C_2 $_{\nu}\text{-}$ C_82. 17

Characterization of the Minor Product (2b). Just after the isolation by HPLC, **2b** mainly showed a molecular ion peak at 1285 m/z and a fragment peak at 1123 m/z in MALDI-TOF mass measurement as well as **2a** (Figure 6). However, after a few minutes from the isolation under ambient conditions, the minor product showed a different spectral pattern which is attributed to an oxidized **2b** (**2b**-O) at 1301 m/z (Figure 6) instead of **2b**. This oxidation process was also confirmed by HPLC analysis in which the elution time of **2b** (12.8 min) (Figure 1) was extended as the oxidation on **2b** progressed to afford **2b**-O (13.2 min) (Figure 2). In contrast to **2b**, **2a** is much more stable, and its spectra were almost unchanged after 3 days stored under ambient conditions without light. Although



Article

Figure 4. ¹H NMR spectra of 2a and 2b-O in $CS_2/CD_2Cl_2 = 3/1$ (v/v).

the reasons for the significant different stabilities of 2a and 2b are still not completely clear, it may be explained by the fact that the stability and electronic structures of the compounds can be influenced by the different direction of the addend, in particular the phenyl ring, in 2a and 2b, as indicated by DFT calculations (*vide infra*).

Although the instability of **2b** made it hard to accomplish further characterization, investigation on **2b**-O provided the sufficient structural information which is associated with **2b**. **2b**-O has also a closed-shell structure as well as **2a**, and therefore,



Figure 5. ¹³C NMR spectra of 2a and 2b-O in $CS_2/CD_2Cl_2 = 3/1$ (v/v).

Table 1. ¹H NMR Chemical Shifts of Hydrogen Atoms Directly Linked to C_{60} in Dihydro[60]fullerene and Other Hydroalkylated and Hydroarylated Derivatives of C_{60} Compared to 2a

compound	shift in ppm	solvent (v/v)	ref
2a	3.19	$CD_2Cl_2/CS_2 = 1/3$	This work
$C_{60}H_2$	7.00	$\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CS}_2 = 1/1$	15e
C ₆₀ HCN	7.19	$C_2 D_2 C l_4 / C S_2 = 1/1$	15b
$C_{60}H(CH_2Ph)$	7.84	CDCl ₃	15g
$C_{60}H(C_4H_7O)$	6.61	$\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CS}_2 = 1/1$	15d
$\mathrm{C_{60}H(C_4H_8O)}$	6.34	CDCl ₃	15f
$C_{60}H(C_2F_5)$	5.30	CDCl ₃	15g



Figure 6. MALDI-TOF mass spectra of (a) 2b which was isolated and measured just after the reaction and (b) isolated 2b-O, in negative liner mode using 1,1,4,4-tetraphenyl-1,3-butadiene as a matrix.

NMR measurements were performed. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are distinguishable from those of **2a**, and all characteristic peaks of the addend and the hydrogen atom were discernible (Figures 4 and 5). Meanwhile, HSQC spectra revealed striking difference between **2a** and **2b**-O (Figure S5). In the HSQC spectra of **2b**-O, no correlation was observed

between the hydrogen atom and the carbon atoms of the C_{82} cage. This result suggested that the oxidized position is the bond between the hydrogen atom and the adjacent carbon atom of the cage, and a hydroxyl moiety was formed as the result of the oxidation. This fact was confirmed by the ¹H NMR measurement of **2b**-O following mixing of the sample solution of **2b**-O with D₂O (Figure S6). The disappearance of the proton signal of the hydrogen atom unambiguously indicates that the hydrogen atom belongs to a hydroxyl group and formation of an epoxide is excluded as the result of the oxidation (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Formation of 2b-O



Discussion on the Reaction Mechanism and Molecular Structures of 2a and 2b-O. In the present reaction, the hydrogen addition was a key for affording 2a and 2b-O in relatively high yields. Because the reaction without addition of toluene before heating afforded a vast number of adducts as demonstrated in Figure 1 (left), some Prato adducts were possibly formed, and they were somehow unstable and evolved to a variety of different compounds. It is also indicated by the shorter reaction time (<15 min) in the case of the absence of toluene to consume the half amount of starting La@C₈₂ than the reaction using a droplet of toluene (\sim 60 min). Therefore, a plausible explanation for affording several products in the former reaction would be overreaction and multiple addition of the addends to the fullerene. MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of the reaction mixture indicated the existence of different compounds such as pristine La@C₈₂, the Prato monoadduct (La@C₈₂CMe₂NMeCHPh), bis-adducts and their respective oxidized compounds (Figure S7).

Arguments can be made for (i) the origin of the hydrogen atom and (ii) the prior reaction between the additions of the hydrogen and azomethine ylide (Paths A and B in Scheme 3).

Two possibilities were considered for the origin of the hydrogen atom: generation of water molecules steaming from the formation of azomethine ylide or, alternatively, a hydrogen atom from toluene. To get rid of the first possibility, we carried out the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction in o-DCB solution containing D₂O and a droplet of toluene. The MALDI-TOF mass spectra of the resulting adducts (not shown) did not show

Scheme 3. Two Possible Pathways for the Formation of 2^{a}



"Path A is the hydrogen addition following the cycloaddition of azomethine ylide, and Path B is the inverse sequence.

any evidence for the addition of the deuterium atom and only showed a molecular ion peak at 1285 m/z which indicates the existence of a product with the hydrogen atom. These experimental findings reveal that the origin of the hydrogen is not the water molecule which was generated in the formation of azomethine ylide. Therefore, toluene appears as the most probable origin for the hydrogen atom.

In order to confirm the above finding, the reactivity of La@ C82 toward toluene was examined by heating La@C82 with a droplet of toluene in carefully degassed o-DCB. It is notable that a large portion of La@C₈₂ was unchanged after refluxing for 1 h (Figure S8). This result indicates that the reaction proceeds much slower than that of the 1,3-cycloaddition reaction. MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of the reaction mixture showed a new peak at 1156 m/z, which is attributed to La@ $C_{82}HO_{2}$, with a small fragment peak at 1155 m/z which stems from the loss of the hydrogen atom (Figure S9). The formation of La $(\Omega C_{82}HO_2)$ implies that La $(\Omega C_{82}H)$ was formed under these reaction conditions and readily oxidized during the process of preparing samples for MALDI-TOF mass measurements under ambient atmosphere. A similar rapid oxidation has also been reported for another isomer of La@C₈₂ (La@C_{2v}-C₈₂).¹⁷ As mentioned above, because the addition of hydrogen atom is much slower than the Prato reaction in the absence of toluene, it is reasonable to conclude that the prior addition of azomethine ylide (Path A) is the dominating reaction pathway and the formation of La@C₈₂CMe₂NMeCHPh (1) can occur prior to the hydrogen addition.

The molecular structures of **2a** and **2b** were confirmed by the interplay between experimental results and theoretical calculations. Absorption spectra of **2a** and **2b**-O demonstrate their strong resemblance (Figure 7). Generally, absorption spectra in visible-NIR region of the fullerene derivatives provide sufficiently distinctive fingerprints of the π -electron system topology.¹ In short, the spectra directly reflect the addition position of the addend on the fullerene cage. Hence, the remarkable similarity between **2a** and **2b**-O indicates that the two compounds are the same site-isomers ("site-isomer" is a classification in fullerenes proposed recently)¹⁸ having the same addition sites of the pyrrolidine ring and the hydrogen atom, despite the presence of 44 possible addition positions in the starting La@C₈₂.

DFT calculations¹⁹⁻²² give us sufficient criteria for the prediction of the addition sites of the substituents. Regarding



Figure 7. UV-vis-NIR spectra of La $(\mathcal{O}C_s$ -C₈₂, 2a, and 2b-O in CS₂.

the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of an azomethine ylide to fullerenes, on the one hand, the LUMO of the dipolarophile plays an important role.²³ In addition, a pair of the carbon atoms, which have relatively high positive charge and high POAV²⁴ value simultaneously, and the adjacent carbon atom with a relatively negative charge, should be the most feasible candidate site for the cycloaddition of diamagnetic endohedral metallofullerenes.^{2f,25} La@C₈₂ has the one site where these criteria are fulfilled, that is C20–C21 (Figure 8, Table 2, and



Figure 8. Schematic drawing and the numbering of $La@C_s-C_{82}$.

Table 2. Five Highest Mulliken Charge Densities, the Five Highest Spin Densities, and Their POAV Values in $La@C_s$ - C_{82} Calculated at the M06-2X/3-21G [C, H, N], SDD [La] Level of Theory

carbon number	charge density	POAV value
C42	0.012	7.82
C23	0.009	11.23
C20	0.009	10.90
C16	0.008	11.11
C27	0.007	11.21

Supporting Information). Furthermore, it has previously been reported that the intrinsic radical character of azomethine ylides²⁶ may play an important role in 1,3-dipolar cyclo-additions on paramagnetic endohedral metallofullerenes.^{9b,27} In this case, the feasible addition site contains the carbon atom which has the largest POAV and spin density, in addition to an adjacent carbon atom with a relatively large negative charge. Therefore, C22–C23 is the best candidate fulfilling these criteria (Figure 8, Tables 2 and 3, and Supporting Information).

On the basis of the first criterion, the bond between C20– C21 potentially affords four regioisomers, each one having two conformers of 1 as depicted in Figures 9 and S11. The relative

Table 3. Mulliken Charge Densities of the Adjacent Carbon Atoms of C23 in $La@C_s-C_{82}$ Calculated at the M06-2X/3-21G [C, H, N], SDD [La] Level of Theory

carbon number	charge density
C22	-0.030
C24	-0.001
C38	-0.001

formation energies were calculated for the possible eight optimized structures. It is found in all cases that the more stable structure has the methyl group connected to the nitrogen atom, located far away from the phenyl group. Among the most stable four structures, A1 and B1 demonstrate better stabilities and may be considered as the intermediates for **2b** and **2a**, respectively, based on the relative formation energies. However, a remarkable difference was not found in the charge density, spin density, or POAV values among these possible intermediates and the starting $La@C_{82}$ (Table 4 and the Supporting Information). Therefore, the subsequent selective addition of H atom cannot be rationalized by this first criterion.

The second criterion considering the radical reactivity suggests the feasibility of C22-C23 as the addition site. Moreover, it is supported by the ROESY measurements on 2a which demonstrate a correlation between the hydrogen atom in the pyrrolidine ring and the hydrogen atom on the fullerene cage (Figure S12). This result indicates the fact that the pyrrolidine moiety and the hydrogen atom of 2a must locate within a distance for nuclear Overhauser effect, that is usually within 5 Å, being consistent in the addition position of C22-C23. Judging from the optimized structures by the DFT calculations (Figures 10 and S13), first, the stable conformation of the pyrrolidine ring is the same to those in the adducts based on C20-C21. The relative energies suggest that the most feasible structures are structures A'1 and B'1. Although these energies are higher than those based on C20-C21, it is safe to conclude that the relative energies are not significant enough for the determination of the structure because the second criterion is based on the radical reactivity, in which kinetic products are favorable rather than the thermodynamic products. In addition, in sharp contrast to structures A1 and B1, structures A'1 and B'1 explain the selectivity of the following hydrogen addition. Regarding the addition position of

Table 4. Five Highest Mulliken Atomic Spin Density Values and their POAV Values in Pristine $La@C_s-C_{82}$ and 1 Calculated at the M06-2X/3-21G [C, H, N], SDD [La] Level of Theory^{*a*}

I	La@Cs-C82				structure A1	structure B1
carbon number	POAV value	spin density	carbon number	POAV value	spin density	spin density
C3	11.14	0.090	C3	11.03	0.095	0.091
C23	11.23	0.068	C23	11.57	0.070	0.072
C40	11.12	0.061	C23′	11.12	0.069	0.067
C1	9.78	0.059	C1	9.19	0.066	0.063
C29	9.65	0.053	C1′	10.09	0.062	0.062
				structure A'1	l stru	cture B'1
carbon n	umber	POAV v	value	spin density	spir	n density
C3	;	10.8	2	0.135		0.123
CS	5	10.5	8	0.105		0.099
Cé	ń	10.5	4	0.068		0.072
C23	3′	11.3	2	0.065		0.059
C25		8.8	30 0.048			0.044
a						

^{*a*}For 1, carbon numbers with prime indicate another unequivalent carbon atom which originates from a set of two equivalent carbons because of the symmetrical change in the fullerene cage from C_s to C_1 .

the hydrogen atom, the carbon atom C3 is the best candidate because it has the highest spin density and POAV values both in pristine La@C₈₂ and the intermediates 1 (Table 4). Moreover, the spin density is remarkably increased in 1 (0.12–0.14) relative to pristine fullerene (0.09), rationalizing the site-selective addition of the hydrogen atom. It has previously been reported that radical addition on La@C₈₂ occurs on the carbon atom having the highest spin density and POAV values.^{2d} All taken together, the molecular structures of **2a** and **2b** are concluded to be structures $A'1 + H_{C3}$ and $B'1 + H_{C3}$, respectively, as it is shown in Figure 11.

This result is in good agreement with the experimental findings where the two adducts were obtained in a selective way as a result of the addition reaction by an asymmetric azomethine ylide and in different yields.

Optoelectronic Properties of 2a and 2b-O. UV–vis-NIR absorption spectra of **2a** and **2b**-O revealed changes in the electronic properties of the fullerene cage from pristine $La@C_{82}$



Figure 9. Four possible structures of the intermediate 1 based on the first criterion in which the LUMO distribution of dipolarophile is important. The addition site is on C20–C21. They were optimized at the M06-2X/3-21G [C, H, N], SDD [La] level of theory. The numbers in kcal/mol indicate their M06-2X/6-31G* [C, H, N], SDD [La] relative energies of formation.



Figure 10. Two possible structures of the intermediate 1 based on the second criterion in which the radical character of azomethine ylide is important. The addition site is on C22–C23. They were optimized at the M06-2X/3-21G [C, H, N], SDD [La] level of theory. The numbers in kcal/mol indicate their M06-2X/6-31G* [C, H, N], SDD [La] relative energies of formation in comparison with structure A1 in Figure 8.



Figure 11. Two most feasible structures for 2 optimized at the M06-2X/3-21G[C, H, N], SDD [La] level of theory. The numbers in kcal/ mol indicate their M06- $2X/6-31G^*$ [C, H, N], SDD [La] relative energies of formation.

(Figure 7). In both compounds, the absorbance onsets show remarkable hypsochromic shifts from ca. 2000 nm in pristine

Article

Electrochemical measurements of **2a** and **2b**-O were performed by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) (Figure 12). The redox potentials were determined by DPV (Table 5). The first reduction and the first

Lable N. Redox Potentials '	Table	5.	Redox	Potentials ^{<i>a,b</i>}
-----------------------------	-------	----	-------	----------------------------------

compd.	$E_{\rm ox}^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm ox}^{(1)}$	$E_{\rm red}^{(1)}$	$E_{\rm red}^{(2)}$
2a	0.80 ^c	-0.02^{c}	-1.39	
2b -O	0.80 ^c	-0.03^{c}	-1.40	
$La@C_s-C_{82}^d$	1.08	-0.07	-0.47	-1.40

^{*a*}Values are given in volts relative to a $Fc^{0/+}$ redox couple and were obtained from DPVs. ^{*b*}Conditions: working electrode and counter electrode, platinum wires; reference electrode, SCE; supporting electrolyte, 0.1 M TBAPF₆ in *o*-DCB. CV: scan rate, 50 mV s⁻¹. DPV: pulse amplitude, 50 mV; scan rate, 20 mV s⁻¹. ^{*c*}Irreversible. ^{*d*}Data from ref 28.

and second oxidation potentials are comparable between 2a and 2b-O. These experimental findings are consistent with the absorption spectra, which demonstrate the similar electronic properties in both compounds. Meanwhile, 2a and 2b-O revealed distinguishable electrochemical stability in o-DCB. 2a showed two small peaks at -0.35 and -0.80 V vs Fc/Fc⁺ couple when a freshly prepared sample was measured. Two peaks were found to become larger after scanning a more positive region than the first oxidation peak (0.27 V), which was confirmed by multiple scan in CV (Figure S14). 2b-O demonstrated a similar behavior after the scan of the first oxidation peak. In addition, a new peak, which was not clearly detected in DPV but in CV measurements, appeared in the anodic region close to the oxidation peak during the scanning. These results suggest that the first oxidation step is attributable to the oxidation on the hydrogen atom, which leads to an irreversible reaction of decomposition of 2a and 2b-O and afforded new products which possess similar reduction potentials to those of paramagnetic pristine La@C₈₂. Moreover, it was revealed that the carbon bearing the hydrogen atom of 2a and 2b-O is still reactive toward oxidation reactions.



Figure 12. CV (upper) and DPV (lower) of 2a (left) and 2b-O (right) measured in 0.1 M TBAPF_6 in o-dichlorobenzene. Circle and triangle symbols indicate redox peaks and the peaks from the compound generated during measurements, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS

Starting from Prato cycloadducts, we have found an unprecedented and intriguing chemical derivatization method affording less-explored functionalized La@Cs-C82 in the highest conversion yields and site-selectivity among those reported chemical modifications for this endofullerene to date. Resulting adducts 2a and 2b are diamagnetic, and the structures have been fully characterized by the interplay between experimental results and theoretical calculations. 2a is the first example of a structurally characterized endohedral metallofullerene derivative which is directly linked with a hydrogen atom. 2b was found to be relatively unstable and readily oxidized to 2b-O in a few minutes under ambient conditions. Furthermore, 2a and 2b-O showed irreversible oxidation steps stemming from the oxidation of the hydrogen atom at relatively low potentials (~0.2 V vs Fc/Fc^+ couple). Considering the availability of starting endohedral fulleropyrrolidines, this unprecedented behavior in fullerene chemistry may inspire further chemical modifications by utilizing the reactive C-H bond as a foothold for further C-H activation reactions,²⁹ thus opening a new avenue in the chemical reactivity of modified metallofullerenes.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General. All chemicals and solvents were obtained from Wako Inc. and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. La@Cs-C₈₂ was prepared according to the reported procedure.¹² o-DCB was distilled over calcium hydride in a reduced pressure under an argon atmosphere, and toluene was distilled over benzophenone sodium ketyl under an argon atmosphere prior to use in reactions. Analytical and preparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) were performed on a JASCO HPLC apparatus or JAI LC-908. Toluene was used as the eluent. The ¹H, ¹³C, and 2D NMR measurements were carried out on a Bruker AVANCE 500 spectrometer with a CryoProbe system, where TMS was used as an internal reference (δ = 0.00 ppm). Absorption spectra were recorded in a SHIMADZU UV-3150 spectrophotometer. Mass spectrometry was performed on a Bruker BIFLEX III, using 1,1,4,4-tetraphenyl-1,3-butadiene as a matrix. The samples were prepared by drop casting in ambient conditions. Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) and differential pulse voltammograms (DPVs) were recorded on a BAS CV50W electrochemical analyzer. Platinum wires were used as the working and counter electrodes. The reference electrode was a saturated calomel reference electrode (SCE) filled with 0.1 M (n-Bu)₄NPF₆ (TBAPF₆) in o-DCB. CVs were recorded using a scan rate of 50 mV/s, and DPVs were obtained using a pulse amplitude of 50 mV, a pulse width of 50 ms a pulse period of 200 ms, and a scan rate of 20 mV/s. The solution was deaerated for 20 min with argon prior to the electrochemical measurements.

Prato Reaction of La@C₈₂ in *o*-ODCB. 1.0 mL *o*-DCB solution containing 0.25 mg of La@C_s-C₈₂ (2.3×10^{-4} mmol) was carefully degassed and refluxed with 6 equiv of 2-(methylamino)isobutyric acid and 2 equiv of benzaldehyde under argon atmosphere. After cooling to the room temperature, the reaction mixture was analyzed by HPLC (Figure 1a).

Synthesis of Pyrrolidine Adducts 2a and 2b. Twenty-five mL o-DCB solution containing 6.4 mg of $La@C_s-C_{82}$ (5.7 × 10⁻³ mmol) and 50 μ L of toluene was carefully degassed and refluxed with 6.0 equiv of 2-(methylamino)isobutyric acid and 2.0 equiv of benzalde-hyde under argon atmosphere. After cooling to the room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the reaction mixture was subjected to multi-step preparative HPLC system as shown in Figure S1 for the isolation of the target compound from byproducts and unreacted starting materials. As the result, 2a was obtained in 45% yield based on the consumed starting fullerene, which was confirmed by the HPLC analyses. 2b was also obtained after the HPLC separation and was found to become oxidized (2b-O) in a few minutes. The conversion yield of 2b-O was 20% based on the recovered fullerene.

La@C₈₂CHMe₂NMeCHPh (2a). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CS₂/CD₂Cl₂ $= 3/1 (v/v) \delta 7.56 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (m, 2H), 7.33 (m, 1H),$ 7.13 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (s, 1H, CH), 3.19 (s, 1H, CH on the cage), 2.39 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 1.61 (s, 3H, CCH₃), 1.54 (s, 3H, CCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, $CS_2/CD_2Cl_2 = 3/1$ (v/v)) δ 163.24, 156.13, 155.59, 152.80, 151.66, 150.63, 150.35, 150.22, 150.08, 149.94, 149.62, 149.25, 148.76, 148.15, 147.99, 147.63, 146.62, 146.60, 146.45, 146.24, 146.16, 146.10, 145.85, 145.81, 145.42, 145.13, 144.54, 144.17, 143.63, 143.32, 142.98, 142.95, 142.67, 142.52, 142.36, 142.31, 141.81, 141.74, 141.33, 141.10, 139.89, 139.65, 139.50, 139.13, 138.33, 138.05, 138.00, 137.92, 137.73, 137.71, 137.63, 137.59, 137.45, 136.80, 136.18, 136.07, 135.80, 135.74, 135.63, 135.54, 135.16, 134.93, 134.17, 133.32, 133.17, 133.11, 132.90, 132.70, 132.26, 131.26, 131.20, 130.59, 130.50, 129.55, 129.47, 129.35, 129.28, 129.15, 129.02, 128.96, 128.73, 128.34, 127.94, 127.20, 127.02, 77.91 (CH), 68.23 (C(CH₃)₂), 64.76, 62.57, 47.62 (CH on the cage), 32.13(NCH₃), 26.78 (CH₃), 16.26 (CH₃); DEPT135 (125 MHz, $CS_2/CD_2Cl_2 = 3/1$ (v/v)) δ 131.17 (CH), 130.50 (CH), 129.28 (CH), 128.72 (CH), 128.34 (CH), 77.91 (CH), 47.62 (CH on the cage), 32.13 (NCH₃), 26.78 (CH₃), 16.26 (CH₃); UV-vis-NIR (in CS₂) λ_{max} 520, 944; MALDI-TOF mass calculated for $C_{93}H_{16}LaN$ ([M]⁻): 1285.0, found: 1285.2 m/z.

La@C₈₂COHMe₂NMeCHPh (**2b**-O). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CS₂/ $CD_2Cl_2 = 3/1 (v/v) \delta$ 7.54 (m, 1H), 7.42 (m, 1H), 7.33 (m, 1H), 7.14 (m, 1H), 6.90 (m, 1H), 4.36 (s, 1H, CH), 3.53 (s, 1H, COH), 2.26 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 1.84 (s, 3H, CCH₃), 1.60 (s, 3H, CCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, $CS_2/CD_2Cl_2 = 3/1 (v/v)$) δ 159.82, 158.59, 156.12, 155.78, 153.78, 152.71, 151.68, 150.85, 150.43, 150.35, 149.96, 149.89, 149.24, 149.12, 148.86, 148.70, 148.59, 147.81, 147.11, 146.94, 146.45, 146.28, 145.96, 145.79, 145.74, 145.58, 145.02, 144.66, 144.22, 143.53, 143.39, 143.24, 143.01, 142.82, 142.72, 142.06, 141.86, 141.62, 141.02, 140.92, 140.87, 140.33, 140.00, 139.51, 139.44, 139.07, 138.93, 138.60, 137.88, 137.84, 137.69, 137.55, 137.47, 137.35, 137.22, 137.19, 136.93, 136.65, 136.16, 135.61, 135.51, 135.35, 135.20, 135.06, 134.63, 134.59, 134.10, 133.25, 132.59, 132.25, 131.66, 131.32, 131.01, 130.82, 130.46, 130.36, 130.07, 129.42, 128.06, 127.89, 127.38, 127.14, 125.78, 77.77 (CH), 73.52 (COH), 68.99, 68.97 (C(CH₃)₂), 59.40, 32.17 (NCH₃), 26.04 (CH₃), 17.08 (CH₃); UV-vis-NIR (in CS₂) λ_{max} 525, 945; MALDI-TOF mass calculated for $C_{93}H_{16}OLaN$ ([M]⁻): 1301.0, found: 1301.1 m/z.

Thermal Reaction of La@C₈₂ with a Droplet of Toluene in o-ODCB. 1.0 mL *o*-DCB solution containing 0.25 mg of La@C_s-C₈₂ (2.3 \times 10⁻⁴ mmol) and 5 μ L of toluene was carefully degassed and refluxed under argon atmosphere. After cooling to the room temperature, the reaction mixture was analyzed by HPLC.

Thermal Reaction of La@C₈₂ with *o*-ODCB Containing D₂O. 1.0 mL *o*-DCB was stirred with 0.5 mL of D₂O for 15 min, then the organic layer was corrected and used for the reaction as below. 1.0 mL of the *o*-DCB solution containing 0.25 mg of La@C₅-C₈₂ (2.3 × 10⁻⁴ mmol) and 5 μ L of toluene were carefully degassed and refluxed under argon atmosphere. After cooling to the room temperature, the reaction mixture was analyzed by HPLC and MALDI-TOF mass measurements.

Theoretical Calculations. Geometries were optimized using the Gaussian 09 program¹⁹ with the M06-2X²⁰ functional. The SDD basis set with relativistic effective core potential suggested by Cao and Dolg²¹ was used for La atom. The split valence $3-21G^{22a}$ or $6-31G^{*22b,c}$ basis sets were used for H, C, and N atoms.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Spectral data of the compounds and Cartesian coordinates of the optimized structures. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

nazmar@quim.ucm.es nagase@ims.ac.jp akasaka@tara.tsukuba.ac.jp

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Strategic Japanese-Spanish Cooperative Program funded by IST and MINECO (PIB2010JP-00196). The iCeMS is supported by World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan. F.U. is supported from grants of the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic and the MetaCentrum computing facility (MSM0021620857 and LM2010005). The Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO) of Spain (project CTQ2011-24652), the CAM (MADRISOLAR-2 project S2009/PPQ-1533), and the European Research Council via ERC-2012-ADG 20120216 (Chirallcarbon) are acknowledged. This work is also supported by Financial supports from research on Innovative Areas (20108001, " π -Space"), Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) (202455006), (B) (24350019), Young Scientists (B) (25810098), the Next Generation Super Computing Project (Nanoscience Project), the Nanotechnology Support Project, Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Area (20036008 and 20038007), and a Specially Promoted Research Grant (22000009) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan. N.M. is indebted to the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

REFERENCES

(1) For reviews, see: (a) Chemistry of Nanocarbons; Akasaka, T., Wudl, F., Nagase, S., Eds.; Wiley: Chichester, 2010. (b) Chaur, M. N.; Melin, F.; Ortiz, A. L.; Echegoyen, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7514–7538. (c) Rudolf, M.; Wolfrum, S.; Guldi, D. M.; Feng, L.; Tsuchiya, T.; Akasaka, T.; Echegoyen, L. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 5136–5148. (d) Popov, A. A.; Yang, S.; Dunsch, L. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 5989–6113. (e) Nagase, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2014, 87, 167–195.

(2) (a) Feng, L.; Nakahodo, T.; Wakahara, T.; Tsuchiya, T.; Maeda, Y.; Akasaka, T.; Kato, T.; Horn, E.; Yoza, K.; Mizorogi, N.; Nagase, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17136-17137. (b) Lu, X.; Nikawa, H.; Nakahodo, T.; Tsuchiya, T.; Ishitsuka, M. O.; Maeda, Y.; Akasaka, T.; Toki, M.; Sawa, H.; Slanina, Z.; Mizorogi, N.; Nagase, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 9129-9136. (c) Shu, C. Y.; Slebodnick, C.; Xu, L. S.; Champion, H.; Fuhrer, T.; Cai, T.; Reid, J. E.; Fu, W. J.; Harich, K.; Dorn, H. C.; Gibson, H. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17755-17760. (d) Takano, Y.; Yomogida, A.; Nikawa, H.; Yamada, M.; Wakahara, T.; Tsuchiya, T.; Ishitsuka, M. O.; Maeda, Y.; Akasaka, T.; Kato, T.; Slanina, Z.; Mizorogi, N.; Nagase, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16224-16230. (e) Takano, Y.; Ishitsuka, M. O.; Tsuchiya, T.; Akasaka, T.; Kato, T.; Nagase, S. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 8035-8036. (f) Sawai, K.; Takano, Y.; Izquierdo, M.; Filippone, S.; Martin, N.; Slanina, Z.; Mizorogi, N.; Waelchli, M.; Tsuchiya, T.; Akasaka, T.; Nagase, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 17746-17752.

(3) (a) Ross, R. B.; Cardona, C. M.; Guldi, D. M.; Sankaranarayanan, S. G.; Reese, M. O.; Kopidakis, N.; Peet, J.; Walker, B.; Bazan, G. C.; Van Keuren, E.; Holloway, B. C.; Drees, M. *Nat. Mater.* **2009**, *8*, 208–212. (b) Sato, S.; Seki, S.; Honsho, Y.; Wang, L.; Nikawa, H.; Luo, G.-F.; Lu, J.; Haranaka, M.; Tsuchiya, T.; Nagase, S.; Akasaka, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, *133*, 2766–2771.

(4) (a) Zhang, J. F.; Fatouros, P. P.; Shu, C. Y.; Reid, J.; Owens, L. S.; Cai, T.; Gibson, H. W.; Long, G. L.; Corwin, F. D.; Chen, Z. J.; Dorn, H. C. *Bioconjugate Chem.* **2010**, *21*, 610–615. (b) Wilson, J. D.; Broaddus, W. C.; Dorn, H. C.; Fatouros, P. P.; Chalfant, C. E.; Shultz, M. D. *Bioconjugate Chem.* **2012**, *23*, 1873–1880. (c) Yang, K. N.; Cao, W. P.; Hao, X. H.; Xue, X.; Zhao, J.; Liu, J.; Zhao, Y. L.; Meng, J.; Sun, B. Y.; Zhang, J. C.; Liang, X. J. *Nanoscale* **2013**, *5*, 1205–1212. (5) (a) Rodriguez-Fortea, A.; Balch, A. L.; Poblet, J. M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 3551–3563. (b) Xing, L.; Akasaka, T.; Nagase, S. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 5942–5957.

(6) Tsuchiya, T.; Kumashiro, R.; Tanigaki, K.; Matsunaga, Y.; Ishitsuka, M. O.; Wakahara, T.; Maeda, Y.; Takano, Y.; Aoyagi, M.; Akasaka, T.; Liu, M. T.; Kato, T.; Suenaga, K.; Jeong, J. S.; Iijima, S.; Kimura, F.; Kimura, T.; Nagase, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130, 450– 451.

(7) Wang, Z. N.; Li, X. F.; Yang, S. H. *Langmuir* **2009**, *25*, 12968–12973.

(8) (a) Fullerenes; Hirsch, A., Brettreich, M., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004. (b) Carbon Nanotubes and Related Structures; Guldi, D. M., Martín, N., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2010.

(9) (a) Yasutake, Y.; Shi, Z. J.; Okazaki, T.; Shinohara, H.; Majima, Y. Nano Lett. 2005, 5, 1057–1060. (b) Crivillers, N.; Takano, Y.; Matsumoto, Y.; Casado-Montenegro, J.; Mas-Torrent, M.; Rovira, C.; Akasaka, T.; Veciana, J. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 8145–8147.

(10) (a) Okimoto, H.; Kitaura, R.; Nakamura, T.; Ito, Y.; Kitamura, Y.; Akachi, T.; Ogawa, D.; Imazu, N.; Kato, Y.; Asada, Y.; Sugai, T.; Osawa, H.; Matsushita, T.; Muro, T.; Shinohara, H. J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 6103–6109. (b) Takano, Y.; Aoyagi, M.; Yamada, M.; Nikawa, H.; Slanina, Z.; Mizorogi, N.; Ishitsuka, M. O.; Tsuchiya, T.; Maeda, Y.; Akasaka, T.; Kato, T.; Nagase, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 9340–9346. (c) Iwamoto, M.; Ogawa, D.; Yasutake, Y.; Azuma, Y.; Umemoto, H.; Ohashi, K.; Izumi, N.; Shinohara, H.; Majima, Y. J. Phys. Chem. C 2010, 114, 14704–14709. (d) Zhao, S. X.; Zhang, J.; Dong, J. Q.; Yuan, B. K.; Qiu, X. H.; Yang, S. Y.; Hao, J. A.; Zhang, H.; Yuan, H.; Xing, G. M.; Zhao, Y. L.; Sun, B. Y. J. Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 6265–6268. (e) Kaneko, S.; Wang, L.; Luo, G. F.; Lu, J.; Nagase, S.; Sato, S.; Yamada, M.; Slanina, Z.; Akasaka, T.; Kiguchi, M. Phys. Rev. B 2012, 86, 155406–1–7.

(11) Chai, Y.; Guo, T.; Jin, C. M.; Haufler, R. E.; Chibante, L. P. F.; Fure, J.; Wang, L. H.; Alford, J. M.; Smalley, R. E. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1991**, 95, 7564–7568.

(12) Akasaka, T.; Wakahara, T.; Nagase, S.; Kobayashi, K.; Waelchli, M.; Yamamoto, K.; Kondo, M.; Shirakura, S.; Maeda, Y.; Kato, T.; Kako, M.; Nakadaira, Y.; Gao, X.; Van Caemelbecke, E.; Kadish, K. M. J. Phys. Chem. B 2001, 105, 2971–2974.

(13) (a) Tagmatarchis, N.; Taninaka, A.; Shinohara, H. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2002, 355, 226–232. (b) Akasaka, T.; Kono, T.; Matsunaga, Y.; Wakahara, T.; Nakahodo, T.; Ishitsuka, M. O.; Maeda, Y.; Tsuchiya, T.; Kato, T.; Liu, M. T. H.; Mizorogi, N.; Slanina, Z.; Nagase, S. J. Phys. Chem. A 2008, 112, 1294–1297.

(14) (a) Maggini, M.; Scorrano, G.; Prato, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 9798. (b) Prato, M.; Maggini, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 519–526.

(15) (a) Henderson, C. C.; Cahill, P. A. Science 1993, 259, 1885–1887. (b) Keshavarz, M.; Knight, B.; Srdanov, G.; Wudl, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 11371–11372. (c) Jousselme, B.; Sonmez, G.; Wudl, F. J. Mater. Chem. 2006, 16, 3478–3482. (d) Matsuo, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Nakamura, E. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1251–1254. (e) Tzirakis, M. D.; Alberti, M. N.; Nye, L. C.; Drewello, T.; Orfanopoulos, M. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 5746–5749. (f) Yang, W. W.; Li, Z. J.; Gao, X. A. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 4086–4094. (g) Kuvychko, I. V.; Shustova, N. B.; Avdoshenko, S. M.; Popov, A. A.; Strauss, S. H.; Boltalina, O. V. Chem.—Eur. J. 2011, 17, 8799–8802.

(16) (a) Izquierdo, M.; Osuna, S.; Filippone, S.; Martín-Domenech, A.; Solà, M.; Martín, N. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 1480–1487.
(b) Izquierdo, M.; Osuna, S.; Filippone, S.; Martín-Domenech, A.; Solà, M.; Martín, N. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 6253–6259.

(17) Akasaka, T.; Wakahara, T.; Nagase, S.; Kobayashi, K.; Waelchli, M.; Yamamoto, K.; Kondo, M.; Shirakura, S.; Okubo, S.; Maeda, Y.; Kato, T.; Kako, M.; Nakadaira, Y.; Nagahata, R.; Gao, X.; Van Caemelbecke, E.; Kadish, K. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 9316–9317.

(18) Maroto, E. E.; de Cozar, A.; Filippone, S.; Martin-Domenech, A.; Suarez, M.; Cossio, F. P.; Martín, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6060-6064.

(19) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobavashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C. J.; Ochterski, W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. GAUSSIAN 09, revision A.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.

(20) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. Theor. Chem. Acc. 2008, 120, 215–241.
(21) Cao, X. Y.; Dolg, M. J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) 2002, 581, 139–147.

(22) (a) Binkley, J. S.; Pople, J. A.; Hehre, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 939–947. (b) Ditchfield, R.; Hehre, W. J.; Pople, J. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1971, 54, 724–728. (c) Hehre, W. J.; Ditchfield, R.; Pople, J. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1972, 56, 2257–2261.

(23) (a) Sustmann, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 12, 2717–2720.
(b) Coldham, I.; Hufton, R. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 2765–2809.

(24) Haddon, R. C. Science 1993, 261, 1545-1550.

(25) (a) Takano, Y.; Obuchi, S.; Mizorogi, N.; Garcia, R.; Herranz, M. A.; Rudolf, M.; Wolfrum, S.; Guldi, D. M.; Martin, N.; Nagase, S.; Akasaka, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 16103–16106. (b) Aroua, S.; Yamakoshi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 20242–20245.

(26) (a) Braida, B.; Walter, C.; Engels, B.; Hiberty, P. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7631-7637. (b) Ess, D. H.; Houk, K. N. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 10646-10647.

(27) Tsuchiya, T.; Rudolf, M.; Wolfrum, S.; Radhakrishnan, S. G.; Aoyama, R.; Yokosawa, Y.; Oshima, A.; Akasaka, T.; Nagase, S.; Guldi, D. M. Chem.—Eur. J. **2013**, *19*, 558–565.

(28) Kikuchi, K.; Nakao, Y.; Suzuki, S.; Achiba, Y.; Suzuki, T.; Maruyama, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, 116, 9367–9368.

(29) Barton, D. H. R.; Doller, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1992, 25, 504-512.

dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja509407j | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 17537-17546